## SEBASTOPOL

SINCE 1889 • VOL 104 • Z 0 8

FEB 24 -MAR

## awsu project ndered rejectio

by John H.K. Riley

fering a l homes has exposed the city to possible litiga-The Sebastopol City Council's decision last week to reject tion and idation residential development of-ering a mix of affordapossible litiga-d potential inval-of its General "market-rate"

the city for not approving the 48-unit Orchard Park Feb. 16, there has been plenty Feb. 16, there has been plenty of behind-closed-doors discusdividual has you dividual While no group or in-dividual has yet stated publicly if they will sue

will take an in-depth

analysis of the whole (approval) procedure to see if that was done correctly, and whether or not the few options that we have, are applicable to that

enough get(the council) to reopen the issue." "I am hoping we issue." people involved -Yvonne Cornelius can get ð

veloper. procedure and if there was any violation of procedure (by the city of Sebastopol)," said Richard Gardner, the project's de-

Orchard close Dark at the ISSUES

In rejecting the 48-unit Or-chard Park subdivision, which offered a mixture of affordable and "market-rate" housing just outside Sebastopol's northern boundary, the majority of four city council members listed sev-eral issues of concern, among them the impacts of the housing roject on city water and sewer,

schools and traffic.

While the findings in the project's Environmental Impact Report and its addendum identified these issues as problems, the report also concluded that many of the impacts could be mitigated. And where not satisfactory to address the impacts, a resolution of "Coverriding Concern" could be adopted, in that the inclusion of affordable housing in a residential development was of greater significance than the detrimental impacts the project

might create.

Below are council members' concerns about the project together with information provided by city staff and consultants in esponse to the issues raised.

\*Some council members stated their concern that the city's water supply and delivery sys-

tem may not be adequate to service the city if it adds 48 new homes. At least one council member stated that the city may not be able to afford improvements for either the city's water or sewer system

water boes incl appear to be unitnishing. Although one of the
city's four wells has been shut
down due to contamination, the
city engineer reports that the remaining wells, operating between
25 to 50 percent of the time, provide an ample water supply. As
further assurance, the city recently authorized plans to drill a
new well on the south part of
town. If there are no delays in
the review process and acquiring
a suitable site, that new well, estimated to cost \$300,000 to
\$350,000, could be on line by the
end of the summer. City Manager
Mel Davis estimates the city has
\$220,000 in its well fund (includes est. \$120,000 from 1993'94 fiscal year), and could borrow
up to \$131,858 from the Redevelopment Agency or the Sewer
Fund. has a plentiful water supply, as the water table in the aquifer from which Sebastopol draws its Consultants agree the not appear to be dimi

(Please see Look page A3)

But Gardner and his attorney are not the only people investigating their options.
Yvonne Cornelius, a candi-

date for a candi-

against the pro (Anne Magnie, city council vember, said city tini) call campaign against the four council mempetition drive Hamilton, Howard Levy, and Bill Roventalk of mounting a who uncil last No. the project initiating in favor voted

"I am hoping we can get enough people involved to get (the council) to reopen the is-sue," said Cornelius, former president of Our Town, a grassof the development.

roots citizen group, and one of about 100 speakers who spoke at last week's public hearing.

Also in attendance at the public hearing was Sebastopol resident Robert Harkavy, a of affordable housing.
"We haven't made any decihomelessness is the construction Housing Now (SCHN), a private advocacy group which believes the long-term solution to member Sonoma County

sion about whether to press for litigation, but we definitely have not ruled it out," said meet with Gardner Harkavy, who is scheduled to this week.

housing. In the suit, SCHN alleges the city is in violation of state law because the Housing Element of its General Plan has not been approved by the state (HCD). building April), against the city of Healdsburg (trial is scheduled to start in Community Department of Housing SCHN charging the city is not enough filed Development affordable lawsuit

oma County has an approved are most cities and counties in California (only Cotati in Son-Sebastopol also is vulnerable to a similar court challenge, as

Housing Element).
Sebastopol's five-year update of its Housing Element was 

(Please see Suit page A10)